



NACCAS
EVALUATION TEAM MEMBER SURVEY (2011)

Name: _____

Date: _____

Evaluator Category:

Academic

Administrator

Subject Matter Expert

Date of Visit: _____

NACCAS Staff :

How long have you been a NACCAS Evaluator? _____

Approximately how many visits have you participated in with NACCAS? _____

How many days in advance were you contacted for the trip? _____

How many days in advance did you receive your packet with the Self-Studies and Materials, for the on-site evaluation, from the schools? _____

Would you like to continue to be contacted for visits? Yes No

Are there any dates in the future that you know you will not be available to participate in a visit?

Do you have any suggestions for improvement or additional comments?

NEW EVALUATORS ONLY

Do you feel you were prepared to participate as an on-site evaluator? _____

If not, please explain and make suggestions about what would have helped you to be a more effective evaluator: _____



PLEASE EVALUATE THE TEAM MEMBERS

Name of Team Member:

Evaluator Type: Academic Administrator Subject Matter Expert NACCAS

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Knowledgeable of the Standards and Accreditation Requirements	1	2	3	4	5
Organized and Prepared for the Evaluation	1	2	3	4	5
Represented the Commission in a Positive and Professional Manner	1	2	3	4	5
Communicated Clearly and Effectively	1	2	3	4	5
Actively Contributed to the Evaluation Process	1	2	3	4	5
Easy to Travel and Work With	1	2	3	4	5
Is Able to Work Independently (Not Overly Dependent on Other Team Members)	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide an explanation for any ratings in the grid above, especially for Disagree and/or Strongly Disagree:



PLEASE EVALUATE THE TEAM MEMBERS

Name of Team Member:

Evaluator Type: Academic Administrator Subject Matter Expert NACCAS

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Knowledgeable of the Standards and Accreditation Requirements	1	2	3	4	5
Organized and Prepared for the Evaluation	1	2	3	4	5
Represented the Commission in a Positive and Professional Manner	1	2	3	4	5
Communicated Clearly and Effectively	1	2	3	4	5
Actively Contributed to the Evaluation Process	1	2	3	4	5
Easy to Travel and Work With	1	2	3	4	5
Is Able to Work Independently (Not Overly Dependent on Other Team Members)	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide an explanation for any ratings in the grid above, especially for Disagree and/or Strongly Disagree:



PLEASE EVALUATE THE TEAM MEMBERS

Name of Team Member:

Evaluator Type: Academic Administrator Subject Matter Expert NACCAS

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Knowledgeable of the Standards and Accreditation Requirements	1	2	3	4	5
Organized and Prepared for the Evaluation	1	2	3	4	5
Represented the Commission in a Positive and Professional Manner	1	2	3	4	5
Communicated Clearly and Effectively	1	2	3	4	5
Actively Contributed to the Evaluation Process	1	2	3	4	5
Easy to Travel and Work With	1	2	3	4	5
Is Able to Work Independently (Not Overly Dependent on Other Team Members)	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide an explanation for any ratings in the grid above, especially for Disagree and/or Strongly Disagree:



PLEASE EVALUATE THE TEAM MEMBERS

Name of Team Member:

Evaluator Type: Academic Administrator Subject Matter Expert NACCAS

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Knowledgeable of the Standards and Accreditation Requirements	1	2	3	4	5
Organized and Prepared for the Evaluation	1	2	3	4	5
Represented the Commission in a Positive and Professional Manner	1	2	3	4	5
Communicated Clearly and Effectively	1	2	3	4	5
Actively Contributed to the Evaluation Process	1	2	3	4	5
Easy to Travel and Work With	1	2	3	4	5
Is Able to Work Independently (Not Overly Dependent on Other Team Members)	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide an explanation for any ratings in the grid above, especially for Disagree and/or Strongly Disagree:
